### Anti-psychiatry



Vienna's Narrenturm—German for "fools' tower"—was one of the earliest buildings specifically designed as a "madhouse". It was built in 1784.

**Anti-psychiatry** is the view that psychiatric treatments are often more damaging than helpful to patients, and a movement opposing such treatments for almost two centuries. It considers psychiatry a coercive instrument of oppression due to an unequal power relationship between doctor and patient, and a highly subjective diagnostic process.<sup>[1][2]</sup>

Anti-psychiatry originates in an objection to what some view as dangerous treatments. [2] Examples include electroconvulsive therapy, insulin shock therapy, and brain lobotomy. [2] An immediate concern is the significant increase in prescribing psychiatric drugs for children. [1][2] There were also concerns about mental health institutions. Every society, including Western society, permits involuntary treatment or involuntary commitment of mental patients. [1]

In the 1960s, there were many challenges to psychoanalysis and mainstream psychiatry, where the very basis of psychiatric practice was characterized as repressive and controlling. Psychiatrists involved in this challenge included Jacques Lacan, Thomas Szasz, Giorgio Antonucci, R. D. Laing, Franco Basaglia, Theodore Lidz, Silvano Arieti, and David Cooper. Others involved were Michel Foucault and Erving Goffman. Cooper coined the term "anti-psychiatry" in 1967, and wrote the book *Psychiatry and Anti-psychiatry* in 1971. [1][2][3] Thomas Szasz introduced the definition of mental illness as a myth in the book *The Myth of Mental Illness* (1961), Giorgio Antonucci introduced the definition of psychiatry as a prejudice in the book *I pregiudizi e la conoscenza critica alla psichiatria* (1986).

Contemporary issues of anti-psychiatry include freedom versus coercion, mind versus brain, nature versus nurture, personal liberty and the right to be different. Some expatient groups have become anti-psychiatric, often referring to themselves as "survivors" rather than patients.<sup>[1]</sup>

#### 1 History

#### 1.1 Precursors

The first widespread challenge to the prevailing medical approach in Western countries occurred in the late 18th century. [4] Part of the progressive Age of Enlightenment, a "moral treatment" movement challenged the harsh, pessimistic, somatic (body-based) and restraintbased approaches that prevailed in the system of hospitals and "madhouses" for people considered mentally disturbed, who were generally seen as wild animals without reason.<sup>[4]</sup> Alternatives were developed, led in different regions by ex-patient staff, physicians themselves in some cases, and religious and lay philanthropists. [4] The moral treatment was seen as pioneering more humane psychological and social approaches, whether or not in medical settings; however, it also involved some use of physical restraints, threats of punishment, and personal and social methods of control.<sup>[4]</sup> And as it became the establishment approach in the 19th century, opposition to its negative aspects also grew.[4]

According to Michel Foucault, there was a shift in the perception of madness, whereby it came to be seen as less about delusion, i.e. disturbed judgment about the truth, than about a disorder of regular, normal behaviour or will. [5] Foucault argued that, prior to this, doctors could often prescribe travel, rest, walking, retirement and generally engaging with nature, seen as the visible form of truth, as a means to break with artificialities of the world (and therefore delusions). [6] Another form of treatment involved nature's opposite, the theatre, where the patient's madness was acted out for him or her in such a way that the delusion would reveal itself to the patient.

According to Foucault, the most prominent therapeutic technique instead became to confront patients with a healthy sound will and orthodox passions, ideally embodied by the physician. The cure then involved a process of opposition, of struggle and domination, of the patient's troubled will by the healthy will of the physician. It was thought the confrontation would lead not only to bring the illness into broad daylight by its resistance, but also to the

2 1 HISTORY

victory of the sound will and the renunciation of the disturbed will. We must apply a perturbing method, to break the spasm by means of the spasm.... We must subjugate the whole character of some patients, subdue their transports, break their pride, while we must stimulate and encourage the others (Esquirol, J.E.D., 1816<sup>[7]</sup>). Foucault also argued that the increasing internment of the "mentally ill" (the development of more and bigger asylums) had become necessary not just for diagnosis and classification but because an enclosed place became a requirement for a treatment that was now understood as primarily the contest of wills, a question of submission and victory.

The techniques and procedures of the asylums at this time included "isolation, private or public interrogations, punishment techniques such as cold showers, moral talks (encouragements or reprimands), strict discipline, compulsory work, rewards, preferential relations between the physician and his patients, relations of vassalage, of possession, of domesticity, even of servitude between patient and physician at times". [7] Foucault summarised these as "designed to make the medical personage the 'master of madness'" [7] through the power the physician's will exerts on the patient. The effect of this shift then served to inflate the power of the physician relative to the patient, correlated with the rapid rise of internment (asylums and forced detention). [8]

Other analyses suggest that the rise of asylums was primarily driven by industrialization and capitalism, including the breakdown of the traditional family structures. And that by the end of the 19th century, psychiatrists often had little power in the overrun asylum system, acting mainly as administrators who rarely attended to patients, in a system where therapeutic ideals had turned into mindless institutional routines. [9] In general, critics point to negative aspects of the shift toward so-called "moral treatments", and the concurrent widespread expansion of asylums, medical power and involuntary hospitalization laws, in a way that was to play an important conceptual part in the later anti-psychiatry movement. [10]

Various 19th-century critiques of the newly emerging field of psychiatry overlap thematically with 20th-century anti-psychiatry, for example in their questioning of the medicalisation of "madness". Those critiques occurred at a time when physicians had not yet achieved hegemony through psychiatry, however, so there was no single, unified force to oppose. [10] Nevertheless, there was increasing concern at the ease with which people could be confined, with frequent reports of abuse and illegal confinement. For example, Daniel Defoe, the author of Robinson Crusoe, had previously argued for more government oversight of "madhouses" and for due process prior to involuntary internment.[11] He later argued that husbands used asylum hospitals to incarcerate their disobedient wives,[12] and in a subsequent pamphlet that wives even did the same to their husbands.<sup>[13]</sup> It was also proposed that the role of asylum keeper be separated from doctor, to discourage exploitation of patients.<sup>[14]</sup> There was general concern that physicians were undermining personhood by medicalizing problems, by claiming they alone had the expertise to judge it, and by arguing that mental disorder was physical and hereditary. The Alleged Lunatics' Friend Society arose in England in the mid-19th century to challenge the system and campaign for rights and reforms.<sup>[15]</sup> In the United States, Elizabeth Packard published a series of books and pamphlets describing her experiences in the Illinois insane asylum to which her husband had her committed.

Throughout, the class nature of mental hospitals, and their role as agencies of control, were well recognized. And the new psychiatry was partially challenged by two powerful social institutions – the church and the legal system. These trends have been thematically linked to the later 20th century anti-psychiatry movement.<sup>[16]</sup>

As psychiatry became more professionally established during the nineteenth century (the term itself was coined in 1808 in Germany, as "Psychiatriein") and developed allegedly more invasive treatments, opposition increased. [17] In the Southern US, black slaves and abolitionists encountered Drapetomania, a pseudoscientific diagnosis for why slaves ran away from their masters. [18]

There was some organized challenge to psychiatry in the late 1870s from the new speciality of neurology. Practitioners criticized mental hospitals for failure to conduct scientific research and adopt the modern therapeutic methods such as nonrestraint. Together with lay reformers and social workers, neurologists formed the National Association for the Protection of the Insane and the Prevention of Insanity. However, when the lay members questioned the competence of asylum physicians to even provide proper care at all, the neurologists withdrew their support and the association floundered. [16]

#### 1.2 Early 1900s

It has been noted that "the most persistent critics of psychiatry have always been former mental hospital patients", but that very few were able to tell their stories publicly or to confront the psychiatric establishment openly, and those who did so were commonly considered so extreme in their charges that they could seldom gain credibility.<sup>[16]</sup> In the early 20th century, ex-patient Clifford W. Beers campaigned to improve the plight of individuals receiving public psychiatric care, particularly those committed to state institutions, publicizing the issues in his book, A Mind that Found Itself (1908).<sup>[19]</sup> While Beers initially condemned psychiatrists for tolerating mistreatment of patients, and envisioned more expatient involvement in the movement, he was influenced by Adolf Meyer and the psychiatric establishment, and toned down his hostility since he needed their support for reforms.

His reliance on rich donors and his need for approval from

experts led him to hand over to psychiatrists the organization he helped found, the National Committee for Mental Hygiene which eventually became the National Mental Health Association.[16] In the UK, the National Society for Lunacy Law Reform was established in 1920 by angry ex-patients who sought justice for abuses committed in psychiatric custody, and were aggrieved that their complaints were patronisingly discounted by the authorities, who were seen to value the availability of medicalized internment as a 'whitewashed' extrajudicial custodial and punitive process.<sup>[20]</sup> In 1922, ex-patient Rachel Grant-Smith added to calls for reform of the system of neglect and abuse she had suffered by publishing "The Experiences of an Asylum Patient".[21] In the US, We Are Not Alone (WANA) was founded by a group of patients at Rockland State Hospital in New York, and continued to meet as an ex-patient group.[22]

In the 1920s extreme hostility to psychiatrists and psychiatry was expressed by the French playwright and theater director Antonin Artaud, in particular, in his book on van Gogh. To Artaud, who was himself to spend a fair amount of time in a straitjacket, imagination was reality. Much influenced by the Dada and surrealist enthusiasms of the day, he considered dreams, thoughts and visions no less real than the "outside" world. To Artaud, reality appeared little more than a convenient consensus, the same kind of consensus an audience accepts when they enter a theater and, for a time, are happy to pretend what they're seeing is real.

In this era before Penicillin was discovered, eugenics was popular. People believed diseases of the mind could be passed on so compulsory sterilization of the mentally ill was enacted in many countries.

### Wir stehen nicht allein



"We do not stand alone": Flags of countries with compulsory sterilization legislation.

In the 1930s several controversial medical practices were introduced, including inducing seizures (by electroshock,

insulin or other drugs) or cutting parts of the brain apart (lobotomy). In the US, between 1939 and 1951, over 50,000 lobotomy operations were performed in mental hospitals. But lobotomy was ultimately seen as too invasive and brutal.<sup>[23]</sup>

Holocaust documenters argued that the medicalization of social problems and systematic euthanasia of people in German mental institutions in the 1930s provided the institutional, procedural, and doctrinal origins of the mass murder of the 1940s. The Nazi programs were called Action T4 and Action 14f13. [24][25][26] The Nuremberg Trials convicted a number of psychiatrists who held key positions in Nazi regimes. To mention are the ideas of a Swiss psychiatrist: "A not so easy question to be answered is whether it should be allowed to destroy lives objectively 'unworthy of living' without the expressed request of its bearers. (...) Even in incurable mentally ill ones suffering seriously from hallucinations and melancholic depressions and not being able to act, to a medical colleague I would ascript the right and in serious cases the duty to shorten — often for many years — the suffering" (Bleuler, Eugen, 1936: "Die naturwissenschaftliche Grundlage der Ethik". Schweizer Archiv Neurologie und Psychiatrie, Band 38, Nr.2, S. 206).

#### 1.3 1940s and 1950s

The post-World War II decades saw an enormous growth in psychiatry; many Americans were persuaded that psychiatry and psychology, particularly psychoanalysis, were a key to happiness. Meanwhile, most hospitalized mental patients received at best decent custodial care, and at worst, abuse and neglect.

The psychoanalyst Jacques Lacan has been identified as an influence on later anti-psychiatry theory in the UK, and as being the first, in the 1940s and 50s, to professionally challenge psychoanalysis to reexamine its concepts and to appreciate psychosis as understandable. Other influences on Lacan included poetry and the surrealist movement, including the poetic power of patients' experiences. Critics disputed this and questioned how his descriptions linked to his practical work. The names that came to be associated with the anti-psychiatry movement knew of Lacan and acknowledged his contribution even if they did not entirely agree. [27] The psychoanalyst Erich Fromm is also said to have articulated, in the 1950s, the secular humanistic concern of the coming anti-psychiatry movement. In The Sane Society (1955), Fromm wrote ""An unhealthy society is one which creates mutual hostility [and] distrust, which transforms man into an instrument of use and exploitation for others, which deprives him of a sense of self, except inasmuch as he submits to others or becomes an automaton"..."Yet many psychiatrists and psychologists refuse to entertain the idea that society as a whole may be lacking in sanity. They hold that the problem of mental health in a society is only that of the number of 'unadjusted' individuals, and not of a possible 4 1 HISTORY

unadjustment of the culture itself".[28]

In the 1950s new psychiatric drugs, notably the antipsychotic chlorpromazine, slowly came into use. Although often accepted as an advance in some ways, there was opposition, partly due to serious adverse effects such as tardive dyskinesia, and partly due their "chemical straitjacket" effect and their alleged use to control and intimidate patients.<sup>[23]</sup> Patients often opposed psychiatry and refused or stopped taking the drugs when not subject to psychiatric control.<sup>[23]</sup> There was also increasing opposition to the large-scale use of psychiatric hospitals and institutions, and attempts were made to develop services in the community.

In the 1950s in the United States, a right-wing antimental health movement opposed psychiatry, seeing it as liberal, left-wing, subversive and anti-American or pro-Communist. There were widespread fears that it threatened individual rights and undermined moral responsibility. An early skirmish was over the Alaska Mental Health Bill, where the right wing protestors were joined by the emerging Scientology movement.

The field of psychology sometimes came into opposition with psychiatry. Behaviorists argued that mental disorder was a matter of learning not medicine; for example, Hans Eysenck argued that psychiatry "really has no role to play". The developing field of clinical psychology in particular came into close contact with psychiatry, often in opposition to its methods, theories and territories.<sup>[29]</sup>

#### 1.4 1960s

Coming to the fore in the 1960s, "anti-psychiatry" (a term first used by David Cooper in 1967) defined a movement that vocally challenged the fundamental claims and practices of mainstream psychiatry. While most of its elements had precedents in earlier decades and centuries, in the 1960s it took on a national and international character, with access to the mass media and incorporating a wide mixture of grassroots activist organizations and prestigious professional bodies.<sup>[29]</sup>

Cooper was a South African psychiatrist working in Britain. A trained Marxist revolutionary, he argued that the political context of psychiatry and its patients had to be highlighted and radically challenged, and warned that the fog of individualized therapeutic language could take away people's ability to see and challenge the bigger social picture. He spoke of having a goal of "non-psychiatry" as well as anti-psychiatry. It has been suggested that Cooper may have seen psychiatry as analogous to apartheid. [29]

"In the 1960s fresh voices mounted a new challenge to the pretensions of psychiatry as a science and the mental health system as a successful humanitarian enterprise. These voices included: Ernest Becker (1964), Erving Goffman (1961), R.D. Laing (1967; Laing and Aaron Esterson, 1964), Thomas Scheff (1966), and Thomas Szasz (1961). (1) Their writings, along with others such as articles in the journal The Radical Therapist (Agel, 1971, 1973), were given the umbrella label "antipsychiatry" despite wide divergences in philosophy. critical literature, in concert with an activist movement, emphasized the hegemony of medical model psychiatry, its spurious sources of authority, its mystification of human problems, and the more oppressive practices of the mental health system, such as involuntary hospitalisation, drugging, and electroshock".[30]

The psychiatrists R D Laing (from Scotland), Theodore Lidz (from America), Silvano Arieti (from Italy) and others, argued that "schizophrenia" and psychosis were understandable, and resulted from injuries to the inner selfinflicted by psychologically invasive "schizophrenogenic" parents or others. It was sometimes seen as a transformative state involving an attempt to cope with a sick society. Laing, however, partially dissociated himself from his colleague Cooper's term "anti-psychiatry". Laing had already become a media icon through bestselling books (such as *The Divided Self* and *The Politics of Experience*) discussing mental distress in an interpersonal existential context; Laing was somewhat less focused than his colleague Cooper on wider social structures and radical left wing politics, and went on to develop more romanticized or mystical views (as well as equivocating over the use of diagnosis, drugs and commitment). Although the movement originally described as anti-psychiatry became associated with the general counter-culture movement of the 1960s, Lidz and Arieti never became involved in the latter. Franco Basaglia promoted anti-psychiatry in Italy and secured reforms to mental health law there.

Laing, through the Philadelphia Association founded with Cooper in 1965, set up over 20 therapeutic communities including Kingsley Hall, where staff and residents theoretically assumed equal status and any medication used was voluntary. Non-psychiatric Soteria houses, starting in the United States, were also developed<sup>[31]</sup> as were various ex-patient-led services.

Psychiatrist Thomas Szasz argued that "mental illness" is an inherently incoherent combination of a medical and a psychological concept. He opposed the use of psychiatry to forcibly detain, treat, or excuse what he saw as mere deviance from societal norms or moral conduct. As a libertarian, Szasz was concerned that such usage undermined personal rights and moral responsibility. Adher-

1.5 Since 1970 5

ents of his views referred to "the myth of mental illness". after Szasz's controversial 1961 book of that name (based on a paper of the same name that Szasz had written in 1957 that, following repeated rejections from psychiatric journals, had been published in the American Psychologist in 1960<sup>[32]</sup>). Although widely described as part of the main anti-psychiatry movement, Szasz actively rejected the term and its adherents; instead, in 1969, he collaborated with Scientology to form the Citizens Commission on Human Rights. It was later noted that the view that insanity was not in most or even in any instances a "medical" entity, but a moral issue, was also held by Christian Scientists and certain Protestant fundamentalists, as well as Szasz. [16] Szasz was not a Scientologist himself and was non-religious; he commented frequently on the parallels between religion and psychiatry.

Erving Goffman, Deleuze, Guattari and others criticized the power and role of psychiatry in society, including the use of "total institutions" and the use of models and terms that were seen as stigmatizing. [33] The French sociologist and philosopher Foucault, in his 1961 publication Madness and Civilization: A History of Insanity in the Age of Reason, analyzed how attitudes towards those deemed "insane" had changed as a result of changes in social values. He argued that psychiatry was primarily a tool of social control, based historically on a "great confinement" of the insane and physical punishment and chains, later exchanged in the moral treatment era for psychological oppression and internalized restraint. American sociologist Thomas Scheff applied labeling theory to psychiatry in 1966 in "Being Mentally Ill". Scheff argued that society views certain actions as deviant and, in order to come to terms with and understand these actions, often places the label of mental illness on those who exhibit them. Certain expectations are then placed on these individuals and, over time, they unconsciously change their behavior to fulfill them.

Observation of the abuses of psychiatry in the Soviet Union in the so-called Psikhushka hospitals also led to questioning the validity of the practice of psychiatry in the West. [34] In particular, the diagnosis of many political dissidents with schizophrenia led some to question the general diagnosis and punitive usage of the label schizophrenia. This raised questions as to whether the schizophrenia label and resulting involuntary psychiatric treatment could not have been similarly used in the West to subdue rebellious young people during family conflicts. [35]

#### 1.5 Since 1970

New professional approaches were developed as an alternative or reformist complement to psychiatry. *The Radical Therapist*, a journal begun in 1971 in North Dakota by Michael Glenn, David Bryan, Linda Bryan, Michael Galan and Sara Glenn, challenged the psychotherapy establishment in a number of ways, raising the slogan



Scientologists on an anti-psychiatry demonstration.

"Therapy means change, not adjustment." It contained articles that challenged the professional mediator approach, advocating instead revolutionary politics and authentic community making. Social work, humanistic or existentialist therapies, family therapy, counseling and self-help and clinical psychology developed and sometimes opposed psychiatry.

Psychoanalysis was increasingly criticized as unscientific or harmful. [36] Contrary to the popular view, critics and biographers of Freud, such as Alice Miller, Jeffrey Masson and Louis Breger, argued that Freud did not grasp the nature of psychological trauma. Non-medical collaborative services were developed, for example therapeutic communities or Soteria houses.

The psychoanalytically trained psychiatrist Szasz, although professing fundamental opposition to what he perceives as medicalization and oppressive or excusegiving "diagnosis" and forced "treatment", was not opposed to other aspects of psychiatry (for example attempts to "cure-heal souls", although he also characterizes this as non-medical). Although generally considered anti-psychiatry by others, he sought to dissociate himself politically from a movement and term associated with the radical left-wing. In a 1976 publication "Anti-psychiatry: The paradigm of a plundered mind", which has been described as an overtly political condemnation of a wide sweep of people, Szasz claimed Laing, Cooper and all of anti-psychiatry consisted of "self-declared socialists, communists, or at least anti-capitalists and collectivists". While saying he shared some of their critique of the psychiatric system, Szasz compared their views on the social causes of distress/deviance to those of anti-capitalist anti-colonialists who claimed that Chilean poverty was due to plundering by American companies, a comment Szasz made not long after a CIA-backed coup had deposed the democratically elected Chilean president and replaced him with Pinochet. Szasz argued instead that distress/deviance is due to the flaws or failures of individuals in their struggles in life.<sup>[37]</sup>

The anti-psychiatry movement was also being driven by individuals with adverse experiences of psychiatric services. This included those who felt they had been harmed by psychiatry or who felt that they could have been helped more by other approaches, including those compulsorily

(including via physical force) admitted to psychiatric institutions and subjected to compulsory medication or procedures. During the 1970s, the anti-psychiatry movement was involved in promoting restraint from many practices seen as psychiatric abuses.

The gay rights movement continued to challenge the classification of homosexuality as a mental illness and in 1974, in a climate of controversy and activism, the American Psychiatric Association membership (following a unanimous vote by the trustees in 1973) voted by a small majority (58%) to remove it as an illness category from the DSM, replacing it with a category of "sexual orientation disturbance" and then "ego-dystonic homosexuality," which was deleted in 1987, although "gender identity disorder" (a widely used term for gender dysphoria) and a wide variety of "paraphilias" remain. It has been noted that gay activists at the time adopted many of Szasz's arguments against the psychiatric system, but also that Szasz had written in 1965 that: "I believe it is very likely that homosexuality is, indeed, a disease in the second sense [expression of psychosexual immaturity] and perhaps sometimes even in the stricter sense [a condition somewhat similar to ordinary organic maladies perhaps caused by genetic error or endocrine imbalance. Nevertheless, if we believe that by categorising homosexuality as a disease we have succeeded in removing it from the realm of moral judgement, we are in error.]"[38]

Increased legal and professional protections, and a merging with human rights and disability rights movements, added to anti-psychiatry theory and action.

Anti-psychiatry came to challenge a "biomedical" focus of psychiatry (defined to mean genetics, neurochemicals and pharmaceutic drugs). There was also opposition to the increasing links between psychiatry and pharmaceutical companies, which were becoming more powerful and were increasingly claimed to have excessive, unjustified and underhand influence on psychiatric research and practice. There was also opposition to the codification of, and alleged misuse of, psychiatric diagnoses into manuals, in particular the American Psychiatric Association, which publishes the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*.

Anti-psychiatry increasingly challenged alleged psychiatric pessimism and institutionalized alienation regarding those categorized as mentally ill. An emerging consumer/survivor movement often argues for full recovery, empowerment, self-management and even full liberation. Schemes were developed to challenge stigma and discrimination, often based on a social model of disability; to assist or encourage people with mental health issues to engage more fully in work and society (for example through social firms), and to involve service users in the delivery and evaluation of mental health services. However, those actively and openly challenging the fundamental ethics and efficacy of mainstream psychiatric practice remained marginalized within psychiatry, and to

a lesser extent within the wider mental health community.

Three authors came to personify the movement against psychiatry, and two of these were practicising psychiatrists. The initial and most influential of these was Thomas Szasz who rose to fame with his book The Myth of Mental Illness, although Szasz himself did not identify as an anti-psychiatrist. The well-respected R D Laing wrote a series of best-selling books, including The Divided Self. Intellectual philosopher Michel Foucault challenged the very basis of psychiatric practice and cast it as repressive and controlling. The term "anti-psychiatry" was coined by David Cooper in 1967. [1][2] In parallel with the theoretical production of the mentioned authors, the Italian physician Giorgio Antonucci questioned the basis themselves of psychiatry through the dismantling of the psychiatric hospitals Osservanza and Luigi Lolli and the liberation – and restitution to life – of the people there secluded.[39]

#### 2 Challenges to psychiatry

#### 2.1 Civilization as a cause of distress

In recent years, psychotherapists David Smail and Bruce E. Levine, considered part of the anti-psychiatry movement, have written widely on how society, culture, politics and psychology intersect. They have written extensively of the "embodied nature" of the individual in society, and the unwillingness of even therapists to acknowledge the obvious part played by power and financial interest in modern Western society. They argue that feelings and emotions are not, as is commonly supposed, features of the individual, but rather responses of the individual to their situation in society. Even psychotherapy, they suggest, can only change feelings in as much as it helps a person to change the "proximal" and "distal" influences on their life, which range from family and friends, to the workplace, socio-economics, politics and culture. [40][41]

R. D. Laing emphasized family nexus as a mechanism whereby individuals become victimized by those around them, and spoke about a dysfunctional society. [42][43][44]

#### 2.2 Evolution research

One evolutionary argument against psychology and psychiatry is from the study of swarm intelligence. There is evidence that since the same number of individuals with certain characteristics is enough to carry out the same function in the swarm regardless of the size of the swarm, [45] the ideal percentage of "special" individuals decreases with increased group size. [46] Therefore, global stabilizing selection maintaining the same percentage of psychiatric conditions in all human populations is not possible, given the differences in group size that already existed based on food availability across climates in the

Paleolithic and became even greater upon the invention of agriculture. If a condition was only present in 1% of humans, which is what psychiatry claims to be a culturally universal figure for multiple diagnoses including schizophrenia and psychopathy, small Stone Age bands would at any given time be at a significant risk of not having a single member with any given 1% condition. [47] If at least one member with each 1% diagnosis was essential for any group's survival, random chance would in few generations have ensured human extinction in the Paleolithic.<sup>[48]</sup> What behaviors are necessary for a group's survival also varies depending on the group's lifestyle, ensuring a divergence-enhancing weak niche construction as opposed to the divergence-hampering strong niche construction believed in by psychiatry. [49][50][51][52] Advocates of this evolutionary antipsychiatry tend not to sympathize with the Church of Scientology but instead point at similarities between psychiatry and scientology, such as both arbitrarily and often moralizingly deciding what they consider normal or pathological, scientology's belief in retained body thetans after going clear resembling psychiatry's belief in sane people also having human flaws, both claiming to have the only cure, both demanding significant amounts of money for their treatment, both having very accommodative claims that are extremely difficult to impossible to test scientifically, both sometimes resorting to coercive treatment, scientology's use of fists and buckets of cold water doing similar harm as psychiatry's use of drugs and electroconvulsive therapy, and both explaining human behavior today with self-inconsistent and archaeologically invalid stories about human prehistory.<sup>[53]</sup>

# 2.3 Inadequacy of clinical interviews used to diagnose 'diseases'

An etiology common to bipolar spectrum disorders has not been identified. Patients cannot be identified just by clinical interviews. A neurobiological basis of bipolar disorder has not been discovered. In making a bipolar spectrum disorder diagnosis based solely on a clinical interview, a false positive cannot be avoided.

Psychiatrists have been trying to differentiate mental disorders based on clinical interviews since the era of Kraepelin, but now realize that their diagnostic criteria are imperfect. Tadafumi Kato writes, "We psychiatrists should be aware that we cannot identify 'diseases' only by interviews. What we are doing now is just like trying to diagnose diabetes mellitus without measuring blood sugar." [54]

#### 2.4 Normality and illness judgments

In 2013, psychiatrist Allen Frances said that "psychiatric diagnosis still relies exclusively on fallible subjective judgments rather than objective biological tests". [55]



A madness of civilization: the American physician Samuel A. Cartwright identified what he called drapetomania, an ailment that caused slaves to be possessed by a desire for freedom and a want to escape

Reasons have been put forward to doubt the ontic status of mental disorders. [56]:13 Mental disorders engender ontological skepticism on three levels:

- Mental disorders are abstract entities that cannot be directly appreciated with the human senses or indirectly, as one might with macro- or microscopic objects.
- Mental disorders are not clearly natural processes whose detection is untarnished by the imposition of values, or human interpretation.
- It is unclear whether they should be conceived as abstractions that exist in the world apart from the individual persons who experience them, and thus instantiate them. [56]:13

In the scientific and academic literature on the definition or classification of mental disorder, one extreme argues that it is entirely a matter of value judgements (including of what is normal) while another proposes that it is or could be entirely objective and scientific (including by reference to statistical norms). [57] Common hybrid views argue that the concept of mental disorder is objective but a "fuzzy prototype" that can never be precisely defined, or alternatively that it inevitably involves a mix of scientific facts and subjective value judgments. [58]

One remarkable example of psychiatric diagnosis being used to reinforce cultural bias and oppress dissidence is the diagnosis of drapetomania. In the US prior to the American Civil War, physicians such as Samuel A. Cartwright diagnosed some slaves with drapetomania, a mental illness in which the slave possessed an irrational desire for freedom and a tendency to try to escape. [59] By classifying such a dissident mental trait as abnormal and a disease, psychiatry promoted cultural bias about normality, abnormality, health, and unhealth. This example indicates the probability for not only cultural bias but also confirmation bias and bias blind spot in psychiatric diagnosis and psychiatric beliefs.

It has been argued by philosophers like Foucault that characterizations of "mental illness" are indeterminate and reflect the hierarchical structures of the societies from which they emerge rather than any precisely defined qualities that distinguish a "healthy" mind from a "sick" one. Furthermore, if a tendency toward self-harm is taken as an elementary symptom of mental illness, then humans, *as a species*, are arguably insane in that they have tended throughout recorded history to destroy their own environments, to make war with one another, etc.<sup>[60]</sup>

#### 2.5 Psychiatric labeling



"Psychiater Europas! Wahret Eure heiligsten Diagnosen!" ("Psychiatrists of Europe! Protect your sanctified diagnoses!"), says the inscription on the cartoon by Emil Kraepelin, who introduced the schizophrenia concept, "Bierzeitung", Heidelberg, 1896

Mental disorders were first included in the sixth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-6) in 1949. [61] Three years later, the American Psychiatric Association created its own classification system, DSM-I. [61] The definitions of most psychiatric diagnoses consist of combinations of phenomenological criteria, such as symptoms and signs and their course over time. [61] Expert committees combined them in variable ways into categories of mental disorders, defined and redefined them again and again over the last half century. [61]

The majority of these diagnostic categories are called "disorders" and are not validated by biological criteria, as most medical diseases are; although they purport to represent medical diseases and take the form of medi-

cal diagnoses.<sup>[61]</sup> These diagnostic categories are actually embedded in top-down classifications, similar to the early botanic classifications of plants in the 17th and 18th centuries, when experts decided a priori about which classification criterion to use, for instance, whether the shape of leaves or fruiting bodies were the main criterion for classifying plants.<sup>[61]</sup> Since the era of Kraepelin, psychiatrists have been trying to differentiate mental disorders by using clinical interviews.<sup>[54]</sup>

In 1972, psychologist David Rosenhan published the Rosenhan experiment, a study questioning the validity of psychiatric diagnoses. [62] The study arranged for eight individuals with no history of psychopathology to attempt admission into psychiatric hospitals. The individuals included a graduate student, psychologists, an artist, a housewife, and two physicians, including one psychiatrist. All eight individuals were admitted with a diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. Psychiatrists then attempted to treat the individuals using psychiatric medication. All eight were discharged within 7 to 52 days. In a later part of the study, psychiatric staff were warned that pseudo-patients might be sent to their institutions, but none were actually sent. Nevertheless, a total of 83 patients out of 193 were believed by at least one staff member to be actors. The study concluded that individuals without mental disorders were indistinguishable from those suffering from mental disorders.<sup>[62]</sup>

Critics such as Robert Spitzer placed doubt on the validity and credibility of the study, but did concede that the consistency of psychiatric diagnoses needed improvement. It is now realized that the psychiatric diagnostic criteria are not perfect. To further refine psychiatric diagnosis, according to Tadafumi Kato, the only way is to create a new classification of diseases based on the neurobiological features of each mental disorder. On the other hand, according to Heinz Katsching, neurologists are advising psychiatrists just to replace the term "mental illness" by "brain illness." [61]

There are recognized problems regarding the diagnostic reliability and validity of mainstream psychiatric diagnoses, both in ideal and controlled circumstances<sup>[64]</sup> and even more so in routine clinical practice (McGorry *et al.*. 1995).<sup>[65]</sup> Criteria in the principal diagnostic manuals, the DSM and ICD, are inconsistent.<sup>[66]</sup> Some psychiatrists who criticize their own profession say that comorbidity, when an individual meets criteria for two or more disorders, is the rule rather than the exception. There is much overlap and vaguely defined or changeable boundaries between what psychiatrists claim are distinct illness states.<sup>[67]</sup>

There are also problems with using standard diagnostic criteria in different countries, cultures, genders or ethnic groups. Critics often allege that Westernized, white, male-dominated psychiatric practices and diagnoses disadvantage and misunderstand those from other groups. For example, several studies have shown that African

Americans are more often diagnosed with schizophrenia than Caucasians, [68] and women more than men. Some within the anti-psychiatry movement are critical of the use of diagnosis as it conforms with the biomedical model.

#### 2.6 Tool of social control



Whitchurch Hospital.

According to Franco Basaglia, Giorgio Antonucci, Bruce E. Levine and Edmund Schönenberger whose approach pointed out the role of psychiatric institutions in the control and medicalization of deviant behaviors and social problems, psychiatry is used as the provider of scientific support for social control to the existing establishment, and the ensuing standards of deviance and normality brought about repressive views of discrete social groups. [41][69][70]:70 According to Mike Fitzpatrick, resistance to medicalization was a common theme of the gay liberation, anti-psychiatry, and feminist movements of the 1970s, but now there is actually no resistance to the advance of government intrusion in lifestyle if it is thought to be justified in terms of public health. [71]

Moreover, the pressure for medicalization also comes from society itself.<sup>[71]</sup> Feminists, who once opposed state intervention as oppressive and patriarchal, now demand more coercive and intrusive measures to deal with child abuse and domestic violence.<sup>[71]</sup> According to Richard Gosden, the use of psychiatry as a tool of social control is becoming obvious in preventive medicine programmes for various mental diseases.<sup>[72]:14</sup> These pro-

grammes are intended to identify children and young people with divergent behavioral patterns and thinking and send them to treatment before their supposed mental diseases develop. [72]:14 Clinical guidelines for best practice in Australia include the risk factors and signs which can be used to detect young people who are in need of prophylactic drug treatment to prevent the development of schizophrenia and other psychotic conditions. [72]:14

# 2.7 Psychiatry and the pharmaceutical industry

Critics of psychiatry commonly express a concern that the path of diagnosis and treatment in contemporary society is primarily or overwhelmingly shaped by profit prerogatives, echoing a common criticism of general medical practice in the United States, where many of the largest psychopharmaceutical producers are based. [41][73]

Psychiatric research has demonstrated varying degrees of efficacy for improving or managing a number of mental health disorders through either medications, psychotherapy, or a combination of the two. Typical psychiatric medications include stimulants, antidepressants, hypnotic minor tranquilizers and neuroleptics (antipsychotics).

On the other hand, organizations such as MindFreedom International and World Network of Users and Survivors of Psychiatry maintain that psychiatrists exaggerate the evidence of medication and minimize the evidence of adverse drug reaction. They and other activists believe individuals are not given balanced information, and that current psychiatric medications do not appear to be specific to particular disorders in the way mainstream psychiatry asserts; [74] and psychiatric drugs not only fail to correct measurable chemical imbalances in the brain, but rather induce undesirable side effects. For example, though children on Ritalin and other psycho-stimulants become more obedient to parents and teachers, [75] critics have noted that they can also develop abnormal movements such as tics, spasms and other involuntary movements.<sup>[76]</sup> This has not been shown to be directly related to the therapeutic use of stimulants, but to neuroleptics.<sup>[77][78]</sup> The diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on the basis of inattention to compulsory schooling also raises critics' concerns regarding the use of psychoactive drugs as a means of unjust social control of children.<sup>[75]</sup>

The influence of pharmaceutical companies is another major issue for the anti-psychiatry movement. As many critics from within and outside of psychiatry have argued, there are many financial and professional links between psychiatry, regulators, and pharmaceutical companies. Drug companies routinely fund much of the research conducted by psychiatrists, advertise medication in psychiatric journals and conferences, fund psychiatric and healthcare organizations and health promotion campaigns, and send representatives to lobby general

physicians and politicians. Peter Breggin, Sharkey, and other investigators of the psycho-pharmaceutical industry maintain that many psychiatrists are members, shareholders or special advisors to pharmaceutical or associated regulatory organizations.<sup>[79][80]</sup>

There is evidence that research findings and the prescribing of drugs are influenced as a result. A United Kingdom cross-party parliamentary inquiry into the influence of the pharmaceutical industry in 2005 concludes: "The influence of the pharmaceutical industry is such that it dominates clinical practice"[81] and that there are serious regulatory failings resulting in "the unsafe use of drugs; and the increasing medicalization of society".[82] The campaign organization No Free Lunch details the prevalent acceptance by medical professionals of free gifts from pharmaceutical companies and the effect on psychiatric practice.<sup>[83]</sup> The ghostwriting of articles by pharmaceutical company officials, which are then presented by esteemed psychiatrists, has also been highlighted.<sup>[84]</sup> Systematic reviews have found that trials of psychiatric drugs that are conducted with pharmaceutical funding are several times more likely to report positive findings than studies without such funding.[85]

The number of psychiatric drug prescriptions have been increasing at an extremely high rate since the 1950s and show no sign of abating. [23] In the United States antidepressants and tranquilizers are now the top selling class of prescription drugs, and neuroleptics and other psychiatric drugs also rank near the top, all with expanding sales. [85] As a solution to the apparent conflict of interests, critics propose legislation to separate the pharmaceutical industry from the psychiatric profession.

John Read and Bruce E. Levine have advanced the idea of socioeconomic status as a significant factor in the development and prevention of mental disorders such as schizophrenia and have noted the reach of pharmaceutical companies through industry sponsored websites as promoting a more biological approach to mental disorders, rather than a comprehensive biological, psychological and social model.<sup>[41][86]</sup>

#### 2.8 Electroconvulsive therapy

Main article: Electroconvulsive therapy

Psychiatrists may advocate psychiatric drugs, psychotherapy or more controversial interventions such as electroshock or psychosurgery to treat mental illness. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is administered worldwide typically for severe mental disorders. Across the globe it has been estimated that approximately 1 million patients receive ECT per year. [87] Exact numbers of how many persons per year have ECT in the United States are unknown due to the variability of settings and treatment. Researchers' estimates generally range from 100,000 to 200,000 persons per year. [88]

Some persons receiving ECT die during the procedure



A Bergonic chair "for giving general electric treatment for psychological effect, in psycho-neurotic cases", according to original photo description. World War I era.

(ECT is performed under a general anaesthetic, which always carries a risk). Leonard Roy Frank writes that estimates of ECT-related death rates vary widely. The lower estimates include: • 1 in 10,000 (Boodman's first entry in 1996) • 1 in 1,000 (Impastato's first entry in 1957) • 1 in 200, among the elderly, over 60 (Impastato's in 1957) Higher estimates include: • 1 in 102 (Martin's entry in 1949) • 1 in 95 (Boodman's first entry in 1996) • 1 in 92 (Freeman and Kendell's entry in 1976) • 1 in 89 (Sagebiel's in 1961) • 1 in 69 (Gralnick's in 1946) • 1 in 63, among a group undergoing intensive ECT (Perry's in 1963–1979) • 1 in 38 (Ehrenberg's in 1955) • 1 in 30 (Kurland's in 1959) • 1 in 9, among a group undergoing intensive ECT (Weil's in 1949) • 1 in 4, among the very elderly, over 80 (Kroessler and Fogel's in 1974–1986). [89]

#### 2.9 Political abuse of psychiatry

Main article: Political abuse of psychiatry See also: Political abuse of psychiatry in the Soviet Union Psychiatrists around the world have been involved in the



The psychiatric ward at Guantanamo Bay.

suppression of individual rights by states wherein the definitions of mental disease had been expanded to include political disobedience. [90]:6 Nowadays, in many coun-

tries, political prisoners are sometimes confined to mental institutions and abused therein. Psychiatry possesses a built-in capacity for abuse which is greater than in other areas of medicine. The diagnosis of mental disease can serve as proxy for the designation of social dissidents, allowing the state to hold persons against their will and to insist upon therapies that work in favour of ideological conformity and in the broader interests of society. In a monolithic state, psychiatry can be used to bypass standard legal procedures for establishing guilt or innocence and allow political incarceration without the ordinary odium attaching to such political trials.

Under the Nazi regime in the 1940s, the 'duty to care' was violated on an enormous scale. [26] In Germany alone 300,000 individuals that had been deemed mentally ill, work-shy or feeble-minded were sterilized. An additional 200,000 were euthanized. [93] These practices continued in territories occupied by the Nazis further afield (mainly in eastern Europe), affecting thousands more. [94] From the 1960s up to 1986, political abuse of psychiatry was reported to be systematic in the Soviet Union, and to surface on occasion in other Eastern European countries such as Romania, Hungary, Czechoslovakia, and Yugoslavia. [92]:66 A "mental health genocide" reminiscent of the Nazi aberrations has been located in the history of South African oppression during the apartheid era. [95] A continued misappropriation of the discipline was subsequently attributed to the People's Republic of China. [96]

K. Fulford, A. Smirnov, and E. Snow state: "An important vulnerability factor, therefore, for the abuse of psychiatry, is the subjective nature of the observations on which psychiatric diagnosis currently depends." [97] In an article published in 1994 by American psychiatrist Thomas Szasz on the Journal of Medical Ethics he stated that "the classification by slave owners and slave traders of certain individuals as Negroes was scientific, in the sense that whites were rarely classified as blacks. But that did not prevent the 'abuse' of such racial classification, because (what we call) its abuse was, in fact, its use."[98] Szasz argued that the spectacle of the Western psychiatrists loudly condemning Soviet colleagues for their abuse of professional standards was largely an exercise in hypocrisy. [72]:220[98] Szasz states that K. Fulford, A. Smirnov, and E. Snow, who correctly emphasize the value-laden nature of psychiatric diagnoses and the subjective character of psychiatric classifications, fail to accept the role of psychiatric power. [98] He stated that psychiatric abuse, such as people usually associated with practices in the former USSR, was connected not with the misuse of psychiatric diagnoses, but with the political power built into the social role of the psychiatrist in democratic and totalitarian societies alike. [72]:220[98] Musicologists, drama critics, art historians, and many other scholars also create their own subjective classifications; however, lacking state-legitimated power over persons, their classifications do not lead to anyone's being deprived of property, liberty, or life. [98] For instance, plastic surgeon's classification of beauty is subjective, but the plastic surgeon cannot treat his or her patient without the patient's consent, therefore, there *cannot* be any political abuse of plastic surgery.<sup>[98]</sup>

The bedrock of political medicine is coercion masquerading as medical treatment. What transforms coercion into therapy are physicians *diagnosing* the person's condition an "illness," *declaring* the intervention they impose on the victim a "treatment," and legislators and judges *legitimating* these categorizations as "illnesses" and "treatments." In the same way, physicianeugenicists advocated killing certain disabled or ill persons as a form of treatment for both society and patient long before the Nazis came to power. [99]:497[100]

From the commencement of his political career, Hitler put his struggle against "enemies of the state" in medical rhetoric. [99]:502 In 1934, addressing the Reichstag, Hitler declared, "I gave the order... to burn out down to the raw flesh the ulcers of our internal well-poisoning." [99]:502[101]:494 The entire German nation and its National Socialist politicians learned to think and speak in such terms. [99]:502 Werner Best, Reinhard Heydrich's deputy, stated that the task of the police was "to root out all symptoms of disease and germs of destruction that threatened the political health of the nation... [In addition to Jews,] most [of the germs] were weak, unpopular and marginalized groups, such as gypsies, homosexuals, beggars, 'antisocials', 'work-shy', and 'habitual criminals'." [99]:502[101]:541

In spite of all the evidence, people underappreciate or, more often, ignore the political implications of the therapeutic character of Nazism and of the use of medical metaphors in modern democracies. [99]:503 Dismissed as an "abuse of psychiatry", this practice is a touchy subject not because the story makes psychiatrists in Nazi Germany look bad, but because it highlights the dramatic similarities between pharmacratic controls in Germany under Nazism and those that have emerged in the US under the free market economy. [99]:503[102]

The Swiss lawyer Edmund Schönenberger claims that the strongholds of psychiatry have absolutely nothing to do with "care", the law or justice – instead, they are nothing other than instruments of domination. Fundamental criticism of coercive psychiatry

#### 2.10 "Therapeutic State"

The "Therapeutic State" is a phrase coined by Szasz in 1963. [103] The collaboration between psychiatry and government leads to what Szasz calls the "therapeutic state", a system in which disapproved actions, thoughts, and emotions are repressed ("cured") through pseudomedical interventions. [104][105]:17 Thus suicide, unconventional religious beliefs, racial bigotry, unhappiness, anxiety, shyness, sexual promiscuity, shoplifting, gambling, overeating, smoking, and illegal drug use are all considered

symptoms or illnesses that need to be cured. [105]:17 When faced with demands for measures to curtail smoking in public, binge-drinking, gambling or obesity, ministers say that "we must guard against charges of nanny statism."[71] The "nanny state" has turned into the "therapeutic state" where nanny has given way to counselor. [71] Nanny just told people what to do; counselors also tell them what to think and what to feel.<sup>[71]</sup> The "nanny state" was punitive, austere, and authoritarian, the therapeutic state is touchy-feely, supportive—and even more authoritarian.<sup>[71]</sup> According to Szasz, "the therapeutic state swallows up everything human on the seemingly rational ground that nothing falls outside the province of health and medicine, just as the theological state had swallowed up everything human on the perfectly rational ground that nothing falls outside the province of God and religion."[99]:515

Faced with the problem of "madness," Western individualism proved to be ill-prepared to defend the rights of the individual: modern man has no more right to be a madman than medieval man had a right to be a heretic because if once people agree that they have identified the one true God, or Good, it brings about that they have to guard members and nonmembers of the group from the temptation to worship false gods or goods. [99]:496 A secularization of God and the medicalization of good resulted in the post-Enlightenment version of this view: once people agree that they have identified the one true reason, it brings about that they have to guard against the temptation to worship unreason—that is, madness. [99]:496

Civil libertarians warn that the marriage of the State with psychiatry could have catastrophic consequences for civilization.<sup>[106]</sup> In the same vein as the separation of church and state, Szasz believes that a solid wall must exist between psychiatry and the State.<sup>[99]</sup>

#### 2.11 "Total Institution"

In his book *Asylums*, Erving Goffman coined the term 'Total Institution' for mental hospitals and similar places which took over and confined a person's whole life. [107]:150[108]:9 Goffman placed psychiatric hospitals in the same category as concentration camps, prisons, military organizations, orphanages, and monasteries. [109] In *Asylums* Goffman describes how the institutionalisation process socialises people into the role of a good patient, someone 'dull, harmless and inconspicuous'; it in turn reinforces notions of chronicity in severe mental illness. [110]

#### 3 Law

While the insanity defense is the subject of controversy as a viable excuse for wrongdoing, Szasz and other critics contend that being committed in a psychiatric hospital can be worse than criminal imprisonment, since it involves the risk of compulsory medication with neuroleptics or the use of electroshock treatment. [111][112] Moreover, while a criminal imprisonment has a predetermined and known time of duration, patients are typically committed to psychiatric hospitals for indefinite durations, an unjust and arguably outrageous imposition of fundamental uncertainty. [113] It has been argued that such uncertainty risks aggravating mental instability, and that it substantially encourages a lapse into hopelessness and acceptance that precludes recovery.

#### 3.1 Involuntary hospitalization

Main articles: Involuntary commitment and involuntary treatment

Critics see the use of legally sanctioned force in involuntary commitment as a violation of the fundamental principles of free or open societies. The political philosopher John Stuart Mill and others have argued that society has no right to use coercion to subdue an individual as long as he or she does not harm others. Mentally ill people are essentially no more prone to violence than sane individuals, despite Hollywood and other media portrayals to the contrary. [114][115] The growing practice, in the United Kingdom and elsewhere, of Care in the Community was instituted partly in response to such concerns. Alternatives to involuntary hospitalization include the development of non-medical crisis care in the community.

In the case of people suffering from severe psychotic crises, the American Soteria project used to provide what was argued to be a more humane and compassionate alternative to coercive psychiatry. The Soteria houses closed in 1983 in the United States due to lack of financial support. However, similar establishments are presently flourishing in Europe, especially in Sweden and other North European countries. [116]

The physician Giorgio Antonucci, during his activity as a director of the *Ospedale Psichiatrico Osservanza* of Imola, refused any form of coercion and any violation of the fundamental principles of freedom, questioning the basis of psychiatry itself.<sup>[69]</sup>

# 4 Psychiatry as pseudoscience and failed enterprise

Many of the above issues lead to the claim that psychiatry is a pseudoscience.<sup>[117]</sup> According to some philosophers of science, for a theory to qualify as science it needs to exhibit the following characteristics:

• *parsimony*, as straightforward as the phenomena to be explained allow (see Occam's razor);

- empirically testable and falsifiable (see Falsifiability);
- *changeable*, i.e. if necessary, changes may be made to the theory as new data are discovered;
- progressive, encompasses previous successful descriptions and explains and adds more;
- provisional, i.e. tentative; the theory does not attempt to assert that it is a final description or explanation.

Psychiatrist Colin A. Ross and Alvin Pam maintain that biopsychiatry does not qualify as a science on many counts. [118]

Stuart A. Kirk has argued that psychiatry is a failed enterprise, as mental illness has grown, not shrunk, with about 20% of American adults diagnosable as mentally ill in 2013. [119]

#### 5 Diverse paths

Szasz has since (2008) re-emphasized his disdain for the term anti-psychiatry, arguing that its legacy has simply been a "catchall term used to delegitimize and dismiss critics of psychiatric fraud and force by labeling them 'antipsychiatrists'". He points out that the term originated in a meeting of four psychiatrists (Cooper, Laing, Berke and Redler) who never defined it yet "counter-label[ed] their discipline as anti-psychiatry", and that he considers Laing most responsible for popularizing it despite also personally distancing himself. Szasz describes the deceased (1989) Laing in vitriolic terms, accusing him of being irresponsible and equivocal on psychiatric diagnosis and use of force, and detailing his past "public behavior" as "a fit subject for moral judgment" which he gives as "a bad person and a fraud as a professional". [120]

Daniel Burston, however, has argued that overall the published works of Szasz and Laing demonstrate far more points of convergence and intellectual kinship than Szasz admits, despite the divergence on a number of issues related to Szasz being a libertarian and Laing an existentialist; that Szasz employs a good deal of exaggeration and distortion in his criticism of Laing's personal character, and unfairly uses Laing's personal failings and family woes to discredit his work and ideas; and that Szasz's "clear-cut, crystalline ethical principles are designed to spare us the agonizing and often inconclusive reflections that many clinicians face frequently in the course of their work".[121] Szasz has indicated that his own views came from libertarian politics held since his teens, rather than through experience in psychiatry; that in his "rare" contacts with involuntary mental patients in the past he either sought to discharge them (if they were not charged with a crime) or "assisted the prosecution in securing [their]

conviction" (if they were charged with a crime and appeared to be prima facie guilty); that he is not opposed to consensual psychiatry and "does not interfere with the practice of the conventional psychiatrist", and that he provided "listening-and-talking ("psychotherapy")" for voluntary fee-paying clients from 1948 until 1996, a practice he characterizes as non-medical and not associated with his being a psychoanalytically trained psychiatrist. [120]

The gay rights or gay liberation movement is often thought to have been part of anti-psychiatry in its efforts to challenge oppression and stigma and, specifically, to get homosexuality removed from the American Psychiatric Association's (APA) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. However, a psychiatric member of APA's Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Issues Committee has recently sought to distance the two, arguing that they were separate in the early 70s protests at APA conventions and that APA's decision to remove homosexuality was scientific and happened to coincide with the political pressure. Reviewers have responded, however, that the founders and movements were closely aligned; that they shared core texts, proponents and slogans; and that others have stated that, for example, the gay liberation critique was "made possible by (and indeed often explicitly grounded in) traditions of antipsychiatry".[122][123]

In the clinical setting, the two strands of anti-psychiatry criticism of psychiatric knowledge and reform of its practices—were never entirely distinct. In addition, in a sense, anti-psychiatry was not so much a demand for the end of psychiatry, as it was an often self-directed demand for psychiatrists and allied professionals to question their own judgements, assumptions and practices. In some cases, the suspicion of non-psychiatric medical professionals towards the validity of psychiatry was described as anti-psychiatry, as well the criticism of "hard-headed" psychiatrists towards "soft-headed" psychiatrists. Most leading figures of anti-psychiatry were themselves psychiatrists, and equivocated over whether they were really "against psychiatry", or parts thereof. Outside the field of psychiatry, however-e.g. for activists and nonmedical mental health professionals such as social workers and psychologists-'anti-psychiatry' tended to mean something more radical. The ambiguous term "antipsychiatry" came to be associated with these more radical trends, but there was debate over whether it was a new phenomenon, whom it best described, and whether it constituted a genuinely singular movement.[124] In order to avoid any ambiguity intrinsic to the term anti-psychiatry, a current of thought that can be defined as Critique of the basis of psychiatry, radical and unambiguous, aims for the complete elimination of psychiatry. The main representative of the Critique of the basis of psychiatry is an Italian physician, Giorgio Antonucci.

In the 1990s, a tendency was noted among psychiatrists to characterize and to regard the anti-psychiatric movement as part of the past, and to view its ideological history as flirtation with the polemics of radical politics at

14 6 SEE ALSO

the expense of scientific thought and enquiry. It was also argued, however, that the movement contributed towards generating demand for grassroots involvement in guidelines and advocacy groups, and to the shift from large mental institutions to community services. Additionally, community centers have tended in practice to distance themselves from the psychiatric/medical model and have continued to see themselves as representing a culture of resistance or opposition to psychiatry's authority. Overall, while antipsychiatry as a movement may have become an anachronism by this period and was no longer led by eminent psychiatrists, it has been argued that it became incorporated into the mainstream practice of mental health disciplines.<sup>[27]</sup> On the other hand, mainstream psychiatry became more biomedical, increasing the gap between professionals.

Henry Nasrallah claims that while he believes antipsychiatry consists of many historical exaggerations based on events and primitive conditions from a century ago, "antipsychiatry helps keep us honest and rigorous about what we do, motivating us to relentlessly seek better diagnostic models and treatment paradigms. Psychiatry is far more scientific today than it was a century ago, but misperceptions about psychiatry continue to be driven by abuses of the past. The best antidote for antipsychiatry allegations is a combination of personal integrity, scientific progress, and sound evidence-based clinical care". [2]

A criticism was made in the 1990s that three decades of anti-psychiatry had produced a large literature critical of psychiatry, but little discussion of the deteriorating situation of the mentally troubled in American society. Anti-psychiatry crusades have thus been charged with failing to put suffering individuals first, and therefore being similarly guilty of what they blame psychiatrists for. The rise of anti-psychiatry in Italy was described by one observer as simply "a transfer of psychiatric control from those with medical knowledge to those who possessed socio-political power".<sup>[29]</sup>

Critics of this view, however, from an anti-psychiatry perspective, are quick to point to the industrial aspects of psychiatric treatment itself as a primary causal factor in this situation that is described as "deteriorating". The numbers of people labeled "mentally ill", and in treatment, together with the severity of their conditions, have been going up primarily due to the direct efforts of the mental health movement, and mental health professionals, including psychiatrists, and not their detractors. Envisioning "mental health treatment" as violence prevention has been a big part of the problem, especially as you are dealing with a population that is not significantly more violent than any other group and, in fact, are less so than many.

Some components of antipsychiatric theory have in recent decades been reformulated into a critique of "corporate psychiatry", heavily influenced by the pharmaceutical industry. A recent editorial about this

was published in the *British Journal of Psychiatry* by Moncrieff, arguing that modern psychiatry has become a handmaiden to conservative political commitments. David Healy is a psychiatrist and professor in Psychological Medicine at Cardiff University School of Medicine, Wales. He has a special interest in the influence of the pharmaceutical industry on medicine and academia. [125]

In the meantime, members of the psychiatric consumer/survivor movement continued to campaign for reform, empowerment and alternatives, with an increasingly diverse representation of views. Groups often have been opposed and undermined, especially when they proclaim to be, or when they are labelled as being, "anti-psychiatry". [126] However, as of the 1990s, more than 60 percent of ex-patient groups reportedly support anti-psychiatry beliefs and consider themselves to be "psychiatric survivors". [127] Although anti-psychiatry is often attributed to a few famous figures in psychiatry or academia, it has been pointed out that consumer/survivor/ex-patient individuals and groups preceded it, drove it and carried on through it. [128]

A schism exists among those critical of conventional psychiatry between radical abolitionists and more moderate reformists. Laing, Cooper and others associated with the initial anti-psychiatry movement stopped short of actually advocating for the abolition of coercive psychiatry. Thomas Szasz, from near the beginning of his career, crusaded for the abolition of forced psychiatry. Today, realizing that coercive psychiatry marginalizes and oppresses people with its harmful, controlling, and abusive practices, many who identify as anti-psychiatry activists are proponents of the complete abolition of non-consensual and coercive psychiatry. Furthermore, as there is little evidentiary basis to support the field's medical pretensions, many contemporary anti-psychiatry activists envision, and see themselves as working towards, an eventual end to the profession itself.

#### 6 See also

- Against Therapy
- Antipsychology
- Biopsychiatry controversy
- Double bind
- Flexner Report
- History of mental disorders
- Icarus Project
- Interpretation of Schizophrenia
- Liberation by Oppression: A Comparative Study of Slavery and Psychiatry

- Mad Pride
- Mind Freedom
- Neurodiversity
- · Political abuse of psychiatry
- Positive Disintegration
- Psychiatric survivors movement
- Psychoanalytic theory
- · Radical Psychology Network
- · Rosenhan experiment
- Self-help groups for mental health
- World Network of Users and Survivors of Psychiatry

#### References

Using Wikipedia for research



- [1] Tom Burns (2006). Psychiatry: A very short introduction. Oxford University Press. pp. 93-99.
- [2] Henry A. Nasrallah (December 2011). "The antipsychiatry movement: Who and why" (PDF). Current Psychiatry.
- "The rise and fall of anti-[3] Mervat Nasser (1995). psychiatry" (PDF). Psychiatric Bulletin.
- [4] Dain, Norman (1994). "Psychiatry and anti-psychiatry in the United States". In Micale, Mark S.; Porter, Roy. Discovering the History of Psychiatry. Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 415-444. ISBN 978-0-19-507739-1. Retrieved 2014-01-12. ()
- [5] Foucault (1997), pp. 39-50
- [6] Foucault (1997), p. 42
- [7] De la Folie. Chapter 5: Traitement de la folie, pp 132-133: in Foucault (1997), p. 43
- [8] De la Folie, p 44.
- [9] Crossley, Nick (2006). "Contextualizing contention". Contesting Psychiatry: Social movements in Mental Health. New York: Routledge. ISBN 0-415-35417-X.
- [10] Crossley, Nick (1 October 1998). "R. D. Laing and the British anti-psychiatry movement: a socio-historical analysis". Social Science & Medicine 47 (7): 877-889. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(98)00147-6.
- [11] Defoe, Daniel (1697). An Essay upon Projects. London: Cockerill
- [12] Defoe, Daniel (1728). Augusta Triumphans: Or, The Way To Make London The Most Flourishing City In The Universe.

- [13] Defoe, Daniel (1740) quoted in Somasundaram, O. (2008). Private psychiatric care in the past: With special reference to Chennai. Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 50(1): 67-69. PMCID=PMC2745864
- [14] Faulkner, B. (1789). Observation on the General and Improper Treatment of Insanity. London
- [15] Hervey N (July 1986). "Advocacy or folly: the Alleged Lunatics' Friend Society, 1845-63". Med Hist. 30 (3): 245-275. doi:10.1017/S0025727300045701. PMC 1139650. PMID 3523075.
- "Critics and dissenters: Reflec-[16] Dain, N. (1989). tions on anti-psychiatry in the United States". Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences 25 (1): doi:10.1002/1520-6696(198901)25:1<3::AID-JHBS2300250102>3.0.CO;2-G. PMID 2647837.
- [17] Kleinman, Arthur (1988). Rethinking Psychiatry: From Cultural Category to Personal Experience. Collier Macmillan. ISBN 0-02-917442-2.
- [18] "Question of the Month Jim Crow Museum at Ferris State University".
- [19] Beers, Clifford (1981). A Mind That Found Itself. Pittsburgh and London: University of Pittsburgh Press. ISBN 0-8229-5324-2.
- [20] Fennell, Phil (1996). Treatment Without Consent: Law, Psychiatry and the Treatment of Mentally Disordered People Since 1845. Routledge. p. 108. ISBN 0-415-07787-7.
- [21] Grant-Smith, Rachel (1922). The Experiences of an Asylum Patient. John P. McGovern Historical Collections and Research Center.
- [22] Reaume, G (July 2002). "Lunatic to patient to person: nomenclature in psychiatric history and the influence of patients' activism in North America". Int J Law Psychiatry 25 (4): 405–26. doi:10.1016/S0160-2527(02)00130-9. PMID 12613052.
- [23] Whitaker, Robert (2004). Mad in America: Bad Science, Bad Medicine, and the Enduring Mistreatment of the Mentally Ill. Basic Books. ISBN 0-7382-0799-3.
- [24] Lapon, Lenny (1986). Mass Murderers in White Coats : Psychiatric Genocide in Nazi Germany and the United States. Psychiatric Genocide Research Inst. ISBN 0-9614961-9-3.
- [25] "The Psychiatric Holocaust excerpts".
- [26] Strous, Rael (2007). "Psychiatry during the Nazi era: ethical lessons for the modern professional". Annals of General Psychiatry 6 (8): 8. doi:10.1186/1744-859X-6-8. PMC 1828151. PMID 17326822.
- [27] Nasser, Mervat (1995). "The rise and fall of antipsychiatry". Psychiatric Bulletin 19 (12): 743-746. doi:10.1192/pb.19.12.743.
- [28] Levine, B. (10 September 2008). "Thinking Critically About Scientology, Psychiatry, and Their Feud". Huffington Post.

7 REFERENCES

- [29] Micale, Mark S.; Porter, Roy (1994). Discovering the History of Psychiatry. Oxford: University Press US. ISBN 0-19-507739-3.
- [30] Ken Barney, Limitations of the Critique of the Medical Model, *The Journal of Mind and Behaviour*, Winter and Spring 1994. Volume 15. Numbers 1 and 2, Pages 19-34.
- [31] Calton, T; Ferriter, M; Huband, N; Spandler, H (Jan 2008). "A systematic review of the Soteria paradigm for the treatment of people diagnosed with schizophrenia". Schizophrenia Bullutin 34 (1): 181– 92. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbm047. PMC 2632384. PMID 17573357.
- [32] Szasz, T. (1960). "The Myth of Mental III-ness". *American Psychologist* **15** (2): 113–118. doi:10.1037/h0046535.
- [33] D Summerfield (March 2001). "Does psychiatry stigmatize?" (PDF). J R Soc Med 94 (3): 148–149. PMC 1297937. PMID 11285802.
- [34] "AAAS AAAS News Release". American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- [35] Douglas A. Smith (December 19, 1999). "Why Outpatient Commitment Laws Change (Almost) Nothing". Antipsychiatry.org.
- [36] Adolf Grünbaum's *The Foundations of Psychoanalysis: A Philosophical Critique*. Berkeley (University of California Press, 1984); *Validation in the Clinical Theory of Psychoanalysis: A Study in the Philosophy of Psychoanalysis*. (International Universities Press, 1993).
- [37] Kotowicz, Zbigniew (1997). R.D. Laing and the Paths of Anti-Psychiatry. Routledge. p. 90. ISBN 0-415-11611-2.
- [38] Wright, RH; Cummings, NA, eds. (2005). *Destructive Trends in Mental Health: The Well-intentioned Path to Harm.* Routledge. p. 67. ISBN 0-415-95086-4.
- [39] "DACIA MARAINI INTERVIEW GEORGE ANTONUCCI" (in Italian). Retrieved 2014-01-13.
- [40] Smail, David John (2005). Power Interest and Psychology. Ross-on-Wye, UK: PCCS Books. ISBN 1-898059-71-3.
- [41] "Bruce E. Levine's columns". at AlterNet
- [42] Fadul, Jose, ed. (2014). "Anti-psychiatry". Encyclopedia of Theory & Practice in Psychotherapy & Counseling. Lulu Press Inc. ISBN 978-1-312-07836-9.
- [43] Laing, R.D. (1960). The Divided Self: An Existential Study in Sanity and Madness. Penguin Books.
- [44] Laing, R.D. & Esterson, A. (1964). Sanity, Madness and the Family. London: Penguin.
- [45] Ant colony clustering by expert ants, Sadeghi, Z. Comput. Eng. Dept., Islamic Azad Univ. Teshnehlab, M. 2008
- [46] Parsopoulos, K. E.; Vrahatis, M. N. (2002). "Recent Approaches to Global Optimization Problems Through Particle Swarm Optimization". Natural Computing 1

[47] How the body shapes the way we think a new view of intelligence, October 27, 2006, Rolf Pfeifer, Josh Bongard

- [48] Myatt, D.M., Bishop, J.M., Nasuto, S.J., (2004), Minimum stable convergence criteria for Stochastic Diffusion Search
- [49] Particle Swarm Optimization by Maurice Clerc, ISTE, ISBN 1-905209-04-5, 2006
- [50] Hawks, J; Wang, ET; Cochran, GM; Harpending, HC; Moyzis, RK (2007)
- [51] "Recent acceleration of human adaptive evolution". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
- [52] Nasuto, S.J. & Bishop, J.M., (2008), Stabilizing swarm intelligence search via positive feedback resource allocation, In: Krasnogor, N., Nicosia, G, Pavone, M., & Pelta, D. (eds), Nature Inspired Cooperative Strategies for Optimization, Studies in Computational Intelligence, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York,
- [53] Mad in America: Bad Science, Bad Medicine, and the Enduring Mistreatment of the Mentally Ill, 2002 RobertWhitaker
- [54] Kato, Tadafumi (October 2011). "A renovation of psychiatry is needed". World Psychiatry (World Psychiatric Association) 10 (3): 198–199. doi:10.1002/j.2051-5545.2011.tb00056.x (inactive 2015-01-09). PMC 3188773. PMID 21991278.
- [55] Allen Frances (17 May 2013). "The New Crisis in Confidence in Psychiatric Diagnosis". Annals of Internal Medicine. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-159-3-201308060-00655.
- [56] Phillips, James; Frances, A; Cerullo, MA; Chardavoyne, J; Decker, HS; First, MB; Ghaemi, N; Greenberg, G; Hinderliter, AC; et al. (January 13, 2012). "The Six Most Essential Questions in Psychiatric Diagnosis: A Pluralogue. Part 1: Conceptual and Definitional Issues in Psychiatric Diagnosis" (PDF). *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine* (BioMed Central) 7 (3): 1–51. doi:10.1186/1747-5341-7-3. ISSN 1747-5341. PMC 3305603. PMID 22243994. Retrieved 24 January 2012.
- [57] Berrios G E (April 1999). "Classifications in psychiatry: a conceptual history". Aust N Z J Psychiatry 33 (2): 145–60. doi:10.1046/j.1440-1614.1999.00555.x. PMID 10336212.
- [58] Perring, C. (2005). "Mental Illness". Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.
- [59] Sharkey, Joe (1994). *Bedlam: greed, profiteering and fraud in a mental system gone crazy.* NY: St. Martin's Press. p. 182. ISBN 0-312-10421-9.
- [60] Foucault, Michel (1988). Madness and Civilization: a History of Insanity in the Age of Reason. New York: Vintage Books. ISBN 0-394-71914-X.

- [61] Katsching, Heinz (February 2010). "Are psychiatrists an endangered species? Observations on internal and external challenges to the profession". World Psychiatry (World Psychiatric Association) 9 (1): 21–28. doi:10.1002/j.2051-5545.2010.tb00257.x (inactive 2015-01-09). PMC 2816922. PMID 20148149.
- D (1973).[62] Rosenhan "On being sane in insane Science 179 (4070): places". 250-258. Bibcode:1973Sci...179..250R. PMID 4683124. doi:10.1126/science.179.4070.250. (subscription required (help)). (URL given leads to abstract of study)
- [63] Spitzer R.L., Lilienfeld S.O., Miller M.B.; Lilienfeld; Miller (2005). "Rosenhan revisited: The scientific credibility of Lauren Slater's pseudopatient diagnosis study". *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 193 (11): 734– 739. doi:10.1097/01.nmd.0000185992.16053.5c. PMID 16260927.
- [64] Williams, J.B.; Gibbon, M; First, MB; Spitzer, RL; Davies, M; Borus, J; Howes, MJ; Kane, J; Pope Jr, HG; Rounsaville, B (1992). "The structured clinical interview for DSM-III-R (SCID) II: Multi-site test-retest reliability". *Archives of General Psychiatry* 49 (8): 630–36. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1992.01820080038006. PMID 1637253.
- [65] McGorry, PD; Mihalopoulos, C; Henry, L; Dakis, J; Jackson, HJ; Flaum, M; Harrigan, S; McKenzie, D; Kulkarni, J; et al. (1995). "Spurious precision: procedural validity of diagnostic assessment in psychotic disorders". *Am J Psychiatry* 152 (2): 220–223. doi:10.1176/ajp.152.2.220. PMID 7840355. Retrieved 2006-07-02.
- [66] van Os, J.; Gilvarry, C.; Bale, R.; Van Horn, E.; Tattan, T.; White, I.; Murray, R. (1999). "A comparison of the utility of dimensional and categorical representations of psychosis". *Psychological Medicine* 29 (3): 595–606. doi:10.1017/S0033291798008162. PMID 10405080.
- [67] "The Ross Institute Trauma". The Colin A. Ross Institute for Psychological Trauma. Archived from the original on July 6, 2008.
- [68] Neighbors, HW; Jackson, JS; Campbell, L; Williams, D (1989). "The influence of racial factors on psychiatric diagnosis: a review and suggestions for research". Community mental health journal (Community Mental Health Journal) 25 (4): 301–311. doi:10.1007/BF00755677. PMID 2697490.
- [69] Antonucci, Giorgio (1986). Coppola, Alessio, ed. *I pregiudizi e la conoscenza critica alla psichiatria (preface by Thomas Szasz)* [*The prejudices and critical knowledge to psychiatry*] (1st ed.). Rome: Apache Cooperative Ltd.
- [70] Sapouna, Lydia; Herrmann, Peter (2006). Knowledge in Mental Health: Reclaiming the Social. Hauppauge: Nova Publishers. p. 70. ISBN 1-59454-812-9. Retrieved 2014-01-12.
- [71] Fitzpatrick, Mike (August 2004). "From 'nanny state' to 'therapeutic state'". *The British Journal of General Practice* 54 (505): 645. PMC 1324868. PMID 15517694. Retrieved 19 January 2012.

- [72] Gosden, Richard (2001). Punishing the Patient: How Psychiatrists Misunderstand and Mistreat Schizophrenia. Melbourne: Scribe Publications. p. 14. ISBN 0-908011-52-0. (The text of the book in full is available online)
- [73] Moynihan, Ray and Alan Cassels (2006). Selling Sickness: How the World's Biggest Pharmaceutical Companies are Turning Us All Into Patients, Nation Books. ISBN 1-56025-697-4.
- [74] "Rethinking Models of Psychotropic Drug Action".
- [75] Breggin, Peter (2000). *Reclaiming Your Children: A healing Plan for a Nation in Crisis.* Perseus Publishing.
- [76] Peter R. Breggin. "Psychostimulants in the treatment of children diagnosed with ADHD: Risks and mechanism of action" (PDF).
- [77] Gardiner Harris; Benedict Carey; Janet Roberts (May 10, 2007). "Psychiatrists, Children and Drug Industry's Role". New York Times.
- [78] Marilyn Elias (May 2, 2006). "New antipsychotic drugs carry risks for children". USA Today. Contributing: Susan O'Brian.
- [79] Gosden, Richard & Beder, Sharon (Fall-Winter 2001).
  "Pharmaceutical Industry Agenda Setting in Mental Health Policies". *Ethical Human Sciences and Services* 3 (3): 147–159. PMID 15278977.
- [80] Thomas Ginsberg (28 May 2006). "Donations tie drug firms and nonprofits". *The Philadelphia Inquirer*.
- [81] "House of Commons Health Committee: The Influence of the Pharmaceutical Industry (Fourth Report of Session 2002–2005)" (PDF). p. 100.
- [82] "House of Commons Health Committee: The Influence of the Pharmaceutical Industry (Fourth Report of Session 2002–2005)" (PDF). p. 101.
- [83] "No Free Lunch (main page)". Nofreelunch.org. Retrieved 2014-01-13.
- [84] "Revealed: how drug firms 'hoodwink' medical journals | Society | The Observer". *The Observer*.
- [85] "Prescription Drug Expenditures in 2001: A report by The National Institute for Health Care Management Research and Educational Foundation (May 2002): Another Year of Escalating Costs" (PDF). National Institute for Health Care Management Foundation.
- [86] Read, John (2010). "Can Poverty Drive You Mad? 'Schizophrenia', Socio-Economic Status and the Case for Primary Prevention". New Zealand Journal of Psychology 39 (2): 7–19. Retrieved 8 April 2012.
- [87] Prudic, J.; Olfson, M.; Sackeim, H.A. (July 2001). "Electro-convulsive therapy practices in the community". Psychological Medicine (Cambridge University Press) 31 (5): 929–934. doi:10.1017/S0033291701003750. PMID 11459391.
- [88] "Paper on ECT statistics at MH Stats Conference ect.org".

18 REFERENCES

- tationary" (PDF). Endofshock.com. Retrieved 2014-01-
- [90] Semple, David; Smyth, Roger; Burns, Jonathan (2005). Oxford handbook of psychiatry. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 6. ISBN 0-19-852783-7. Retrieved 2014-
- [91] Noll, Richard (2007). The encyclopedia of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders. Infobase Publishing. p. 3. ISBN 0-8160-6405-9.
- [92] Medicine betrayed: the participation of doctors in human rights abuses. Zed Books. 1992. p. 65. ISBN 1-85649-104-8. Retrieved 2014-01-12.
- [93] Strous, R. D. (2006). "Nazi Euthanasia of the Mentally Ill at Hadamar". American Journal of Psychiatry 163 (1): 27-27. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.163.1.27. PMID 16390885.
- [94] Birley, J. L. T. (January 2000). "Political abuse of psychiatry". Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 101 (399): 13doi:10.1111/j.0902-4441.2000.007s020[dash]3.x. [110] Lester H., Gask L.; Gask (May 2006). "Delivering PMID 10794019.
- [95] "Press conference exposes mental health genocide during apartheid". South African Government Information. 14 June 1997. Retrieved 16 January 2012.
- [96] van Voren, Robert (January 2010). "Political Abuse of Psychiatry—An Historical Overview". Schizophrenia Bulletin 36 (1): 33–35. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbp119. PMC 2800147. PMID 19892821. Retrieved 16 January 2012.
- [97] Fulford, K; Smirnov, A; Snow, E (1993). "Concepts of disease and the abuse of psychiatry in the USSR". The British Journal of Psychiatry 162 (6): 801-810. [113] Szasz, Thomas (2002). "Patient or Prisoner?". Ideas on doi:10.1192/bjp.162.6.801. Retrieved 23 January 2012.
- [98] Szasz, Thomas (September 1994). agnosis, psychiatric power and psychiatric abuse" (PDF). Journal of Medical Ethics 20 (3): 135-138. doi:10.1136/jme.20.3.135. PMC 1376496. **PMID** 7996558. Retrieved 20 January 2012.
- [99] Szasz, Thomas (Spring 2001). "The Therapeutic State: The Tyranny of Pharmacracy" (PDF). The Independent Review V (4): 485-521. ISSN 1086-1653. Retrieved 20 January 2012.
- [100] Black, Edwin (2012). War Against the Weak: Eugenics and America's Campaign to Create a Master Race. Dialog Press. ISBN 978-0-914153-29-0.
- [101] Kershaw, Ian (1999). Hitler: 1889-1936. Norton: New York. ISBN 0-393-04671-0.
- [102] Klein, Naomi. The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism. Knopf Canada. Highlights similarity between Psychiatric treatments and disaster Capitalism.
- [103] Baker, Robert (Winter 2003). "Psychiatry's Gentleman Abolitionist" (PDF). The Independent Review VII (3): 455–460. ISSN 1086-1653. Retrieved 12 February 2012.

[89] Leonard Roy Frank (June 2006). "The Electroshock Quo- [104] "Curing the Therapeutic State: Thomas Szasz interviewed by Jacob Sullum". Reason Magazine.

- [105] Costigan, Lucy (2004). Social Awareness in Counselling. iUniverse. p. 17. ISBN 0-595-75523-2. Retrieved 2014-01-12.
- [106] Sheldon Richman (March 4, 2005). "Bush's Brave New World". The Future of Freedom Foundation. Archived from the original on 2005-03-06. (Web page changed slightly; this is current page)
- [107] Davidson, Larry; Rakfeldt, Jaak; Strauss, John, eds. (2010). The Roots of the Recovery Movement in Psychiatry: Lessons Learned. John Wiley and Sons. p. 150. ISBN 88-464-5358-1.
- [108] Wallace, Samuel (1971). Total Institutions. Transaction Publishers. p. 9. ISBN 88-464-5358-1. Retrieved 2014-01-12.
- [109] Weinstein R.M. (1982). "Goffman's Asylums and the Social Situation of Mental Patients" (PDF). Orthomolecular psychiatry 11 (4): 267-274. Retrieved 2014-01-13.
- medical care for patients with serious mental illness or promoting a collaborative model of recovery?". British Journal of Psychiatry 188 (5): 401-402. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.105.015933. PMID 16648523.
- [111] Szasz, Thomas (1989). Law, Liberty, and Psychiatry: An Inquiry into the Social Uses of Mental Health Practices. Syracuse University Press; Reprint edition. ISBN 0-8156-0242-1.
- [112] "Mental Illness On Trial: The Rodney Yoder Story". Stopshrinks.org.
- Liberty 52: 31-32.
- "Psychiatric di- [114] "UNC study: Mental illness by itself does not predict future violent behavior". UNC Health Care. February 2, 2009.
  - [115] "Search Content | Science News". Science News.
  - [116] "Dr. Loren Mosher, 1933-2004". Alliance for Human Research Protection. 13 July 2004. Retrieved 2014-01-
  - [117] Warme, Gordon (2006). Daggers of the Mind: Psychiatry and the Myth of Mental Disease. Canada: House of Anansi. ISBN 0-88784-197-X.
  - [118] Ross, Colin (1995). "Errors of logic in biological psychiatry" in Colin Ross and Alvin Pam Pseudoscience in Biological Psychiatry. NY: Wiley & Sons. pp. 85-128.
  - [119] Kirk, Stuart A. (2013). Mad Science: Psychiatric Coercion, Diagnosis, and Drugs. Transaction Publishers. p. 326.
  - [120] Szasz, Thomas (June 2008). "Debunking Antipsychiatry: Laing, Law, and Largactil". Current Psychology 27 (2): 79-101. doi:10.1007/s12144-008-9024-z. (subscription required (help)).

- [121] Burston, Daniel (2004). "Szasz, Laing and Existential Psychotherapy". Existential-Human Institute. Retrieved 2014-01-13.
- [122] McCommon B (2006). "Antipsychiatry and the Gay Rights Movement". Psychiatr Serv 57 (12): 1809. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.57.12.1809.
- [123] Rissmiller DJ, Rissmiller J; Rissmiller (2006). "Letter in reply". *Psychiatr Serv* **57** (12): 1809–1810. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.57.12.1809-a.
- [124] Laffey, Paul (2003). "Antipsychiatry in Australia: Sources for a Social and Intellectual History" (PDF). Health & History 5 (2): 17–36. doi:10.2307/40111451. JSTOR 40111451.
- [125] Seyyed Nassir Ghaemi (2006). "Paradigms of Psychiatry: Eclecticism and Its Discontents". Current Opinion in Psychiatry. (registration required)
- [126] McLean, A. (2003). "Recovering Consumers and a Broken Mental Health System in the United States: Ongoing Challenges for Consumers/ Survivors and the New Freedom Commission on Mental Health. Part II: Impact of Managed Care and Continuing Challenges". *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation* 8: 58–70.
- [127] Everett B (1994). "Something is happening: the contemporary consumer and psychiatric survivor movement in historical context". *Journal of Mind and Behavior* 15: 55–7.
- [128] Rissmiller, D.J.; Rissmiller, J.H. (June 2006). "Evolution of the Antipsychiatry Movement into mental health consumerism" (PDF). *Psychiatric Services* 57 (6): 863–866. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.57.6.863. PMID 16754765.

#### 8 Works cited

• Foucault, Michel (1997). "Psychiatric Power". In Rabinow, Paul. *Ethics, subjectivity and truth*. Translated by Robert Hurley and others. New York: The New Press. ISBN 978-1-56584-352-3. OCLC 46638170.

### 9 Further reading

- Antonucci Giorgio (1986). Coppola, Alessio, ed. I pregiudizi e la conoscenza. Critica alla psichiatria (preface by Thomas Szasz) [The prejudices and knowledge. Critics of psychiatry] (1st ed.). Apache Cooperative Ltd.
- Antonucci Giorgio (1994). Critica al giudizio psichiatrico [Critique of psychiatric judgment]. Sensibili alle Foglie. ISBN 8889883014.
- Laing, R.D. (1976). The Divided Self. Penguin Books. ISBN 978-0-14-020734-7.

- Laing, R.D. (1983). *The Politics of Experience*. Pantheon. ISBN 978-0-394-71475-2.
- Szasz, Thomas (1997). The Manufacture of Madness: A Comparative Study of the Inquisition and the Mental Health Movement. Syracuse University Press. ISBN 978-0-8156-0461-7.
- Glasser, William, Warning: Psychiatry Can Be Hazardous to Your Mental Health, 2004 ISBN 0-06-053866-X

#### 10 External links

- Antipsychiatry Antipsiquiatría: Deconstrucción del concepto de enfermedad mental y crítica de la 'razón psiquiátrica – Antipsychiatry: Deconstruction of the concept of mental illness and critique of psychiatric reason
- "Anti-Psychiatry and its Legacies (video)". Nottingham Contemporary. Retrieved 12 November 2013. 12–13 February 2013

#### 11 Text and image sources, contributors, and licenses

#### 11.1 Text

 Anti-psychiatry Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-psychiatry?oldid=709819400 Contributors: MichaelTinkler, Timo Honkasalo, The Anome, Stephen Gilbert, DanKeshet, Ed Poor, Fubar Obfusco, CraigHunter, Daniel C. Boyer, Nonenmac, AaronAgassi, R Lowry, Modemac, Mbecker, Frecklefoot, Lir, Patrick, Kwertii, Vera Cruz, MartinHarper, MarcusAurelius, Sannse, Theanthrope, Karada, Ronabop, Ronz, Dietary Fiber, Kimiko, Andres, Sethmahoney, BobCMU76, Conti, Michael Voytinsky, Charles Matthews, Timwi, Samvak, Maximus Rex, Khym Chanur, Phil Boswell, Kesuari, Der Eberswalder, Nilmerg, Meelar, Radomil, Quadalpha, Cronian~enwiki, DocWatson42, MPF, Zigger, Monedula, Duncharris, Beta m, Eequor, JRR Trollkien, Wmahan, Neko san, Pgan002, Andycjp, Geni, Antandrus, Excalibur, The Trolls of Navarone, Lostchicken, Mike Rosoft, Tom X. Tobin, Defleck, Brianhe, Rich Farmbrough, Guanabot, User2004, Antaeus Feldspar, Night Gyr, ESkog, El C, Sietse Snel, AnyFile, R. S. Shaw, Tastyummy, Forteanajones, Vanished user 19794758563875, Jaredfaulkner, Pearle, Conny, Alison9, Guy Harris, SHIMONSHA, Calton, Eglenn, Mysdaao, Ombudsman, Tony Sidaway, RicoCorinth, Galaxiaad, Woohookitty, Before My Ken, KickAir8P~, MONGO, Palica, Marudubshinki, Joe Roe, BD2412, Qwertyus, Raymond Hill, SpaceToast, Rjwilmsi, Rogerd, Harry491, Vegaswikian, Ian Pitchford, Felixdakat, Jrtayloriv, Common Man, Aspro, Diamantina, Lord Patrick, Bgwhite, Skoosh, The Rambling Man, YurikBot, Wavelength, Spacepotato, Neitherday, Kafziel, Midgley, Bamid3d~enwiki, Newmac, Anomalocaris, NawlinWiki, Ithacagorges, Leutha, AndyBoySouthPas, ONEder Boy, Orchidgirl, Mccready, Agiantman, Ospalh, Haemo, Smoggyrob, Chase me ladies, I'm the Cavalry, Endomion, Fram, Trolleymusic, Bwiki, KnightRider~enwiki, SmackBot, JimmyGuano, Historian932, David Kernow, Rtc, NZUlysses, PizzaMargherita, Tristano Ajmone~enwiki, Yamaguchi [27], Portillo, OrionK, Hmains, Lindosland, Oneismany, Chris the speller, Thumperward, Fuzzform, Neurodivergent, Mdwh, Francesca Allan of MindFreedomBC, Hertzyscowicz, Colonies Chris, Wilsric, Onorem, Sommers, WikiCats, Rashad9607, Bolivian Unicyclist, DR04, \*Ria777\*, Essent, EPM, Ombudsperson, AndroidCat, Pwjb, Rockpocket, Sparkleyone, William conway bcc, Mpace, SashatoBot, JzG, Serrano~enwiki, Fremte, Romarkin, DavidCooke, Vgy7ujm, Loodog, JHunterJ, Lifeartist, SandyGeorgia, Neddyseagoon, Manifestation, Blenderhead, Lerix, MarkThomas, DabMachine, Hetar, OnBeyondZebrax, Killer ninjas, Franzio, Lesion, Gerfinch, RekishiEJ, Ladyof Shalott, Pablosecca, Fairuse Bot, Rook ZERO, Cesar Tort, Igni, Peter 1c, CRGreathouse, Choosername, Johnalexwood, Shelf Skewed, ONUnicorn, Penbat, Cydebot, Red Director, Anthonyhcole, PamD, Tewapack, Mattisse, Thijs!bot, Barticus88, Rolando.Montano, Smee, Matthew Proctor, Chris Dubey, Mentifisto, AntiVandalBot, JAnDbot, Dshsfca, Geniac, Myelina m, Magioladitis, The Enlightened, \*\*\*Ria777, Murmur74, Ecksemmess, User86654, Svartulfr1, DerHexer, Éponyme, Kraxler, Inclusivedisjunction, Yobol, AussieBoy, Timeloss, Joie de Vivre, EverSince, ObtuseAngle, Lilac Soul, Celebs123, Berkeley99, The High Magus, Makoshack, Dispenser, Darwin-Peacock, Davy p, Bdisagree, Sugarbat, Pundit, Atama, Psykhosis, DASonnenfeld, Scuro, Gary587, 7Kim, Pterodactyl katya, VolkovBot, Johnfos, Rucha58, Fritzflohr, Tito58, Cosmic Latte, Libgirl2, Mark v1.0, Hqb, Rei-bot, Don4of4, S. M. Sullivan, Zweidinge, DJBarney24, Grinq, Laval, Doc James, Daveh4h, Hrafn, Gosu no Koroshiya, Stan En, Malcolmxl5, Dawn Bard, Drugged monkey, Jojalozzo, Arknascar44, Nk.sheridan, Lightmouse, Thirteen squared, Emesee, Iknowyourider, Eremgumas, Sachaztan, Ptr 123, Hordaland, Standardname, ClueBot, Dakinijones, Leopedia2, Nnemo, Methychroma, Stevesim89, P. S. Burton, Sabri76, Cirt, Albert Wincentz, John Nevard, Ottawagal, Rhododendrites, Chrisscrewball, Apparition11, Michael Glenn, DumZiBoT, Oo09nj76t5, Barryvz, Antoniog12345~enwiki, Cmr08, Inchiquin, Addbot, Wikiiinfo, Tripod123, DOI bot, Serendipity33, M.nelson, Wingspeed, Download, Kaj1mada, Numbo3-bot, Lightbot, ForesticPig, Glamlamb, Jarble, Legobot, Luckas-bot, Yobot, Be so empty without me, Whatever404, Eduen, AnomieBOT, AdjustShift, Schnäggli, Citation bot, Xqbot, PeterJones1380, Psychiatrick, J04n, Earlypsychosis, Aaron Kauppi, Erik9, Nixón, FrescoBot, Majini, Drew R. Smith, Citation bot 1, LongView1954, Mantenna77, Pinethicket, Marco devillers, LittleWink, Tóraí, Trappist the monk, Lotje, GregKaye, LilyKitty, Mramz88, Whywhenwhohow, EmausBot, Geom2000, ZéroBot, Josve05a, H3llBot, Jacobisq, Wikiloop, Polisher of Cobwebs, 2tuntony, J341933, Hazard-Bot, Ebehn, ClueBot NG, Plehmann1950, Satellizer, Chester Markel, FiachraByrne, Antiqueight, Helpful Pixie Bot, Curb Chain, Camustein, BG19bot, Zynna.lynn, MrBill3, BattyBot, Biosthmors, Cyberbot II, ChrisGualtieri, Khazar2, IjonTichyIjonTichy, Dexbot, D0J0P, 93, Dirk Steele, Faizan, Redblackwritings, Ashleyleia, Clr324, Footprintsinthesand, E. Francesca Allan, SJ Defender, Anrnusna, Meteor sandwich yum, Occurring, Zambelo, Scott T Parow, Thyroidtreatment, Monkbot, Otatia, Iantocol, ASavantDude, BU Rob13, Nc4096, Mahfuzur rahman shourov, Permstrump, NeuroPhD7 and Anonymous: 298

#### 11.2 Images

- File:Ambox\_globe\_content.svg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/b/bd/Ambox\_globe\_content.svg License: Public domain Contributors: Own work, using File:Information icon3.svg and File:Earth clip art.svg Original artist: penubag
- File:Bergonic\_chair.jpg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/6/6b/Bergonic\_chair.jpg License: CC BY 2.0 Contributors: originally posted to Flickr as Reeve041476 Original artist: Otis Historical Archives National Museum of Health and Medicine
- File:Blue\_question\_mark\_icon.svg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/1/11/Blue\_question\_mark\_icon.svg License: Public domain Contributors: Own work Original artist: Isarra
- File:Cartoon\_by\_Emil\_Kraepelin.jpg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/3/39/Cartoon\_by\_Emil\_Kraepelin.jpg License: Public domain Contributors: http://www.mpipsykl.mpg.de/en/research/themes/other\_topics/weber\_m\_01/index.html Original artist: Emil Kraepelin
- File:Narrenturm\_Vienna\_June\_2006\_575.jpg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/5/57/Narrenturm\_Vienna\_June\_2006\_575.jpg License: CC BY 2.5 Contributors: Own work Original artist: Gryffindor
- File:Samuelcartwright.jpg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/e/e9/Samuelcartwright.jpg License: Public domain Contributors: Transferred from en.wikipedia to Commons. Original artist: The original uploader was Cyborg Ninja at English Wikipedia
- File:Scientology\_psychiatry\_kills.jpg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/9/98/Scientology\_psychiatry\_kills.jpg License: CC-BY-SA-3.0 Contributors: flickr.com; Original upload on en.wikipedia.org by User:ChrisO Original artist: By Legolam

11.3 Content license 21

• File:Whitchurch\_Hospital\_Corridor\_Abandoned\_West5\_Ward.jpg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/e/ee/Whitchurch\_Hospital\_Corridor\_Abandoned\_West5\_Ward.jpg License: Public domain Contributors: No machine-readable source provided. Own work assumed (based on copyright claims). Original artist: No machine-readable author provided. Vaughan~commonswiki assumed (based on copyright claims).

- File:Wikiquote-logo.svg Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/f/fa/Wikiquote-logo.svg License: Public domain Contributors: ? Original artist: ?
- File:Wir\_stehen\_nicht\_allein.png Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/d/d0/Wir\_stehen\_nicht\_allein.png License: Public domain Contributors: Scan taken from Robert Proctor, Racial Hygiene: Medicine under the Nazis (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press), page 96. Originally from Neues Volk, March 1, 1936, p.37. Original artist: Unknown<a href='/www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q4233718' title='wikidata:Q4233718'><img alt='wikidata:Q4233718' src='https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/f/ff/Wikidata-logo.svg/20px-Wikidata-logo.svg.png' width='20' height='11' srcset='https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/f/ff/Wikidata-logo.svg/30px-Wikidata-logo.svg.png 1.5x, https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/f/ff/Wikidata-logo.svg/40px-Wikidata-logo.svg.png 2x' data-file-width='1050' data-file-height='590'

#### 11.3 Content license

• Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0